УДК 512.544

ON HEREDITARY RADICALS OF TORSION-FREE LOCALLY NILPOTENT GROUPS

O.D. ARTEMOVYCH

Artemovych O.D. On hereditary radicals of torsion-free locally nilpotent groups. We studied the hereditary radicals of torsion-free locally nilpotent groups. It was proved that any hyperabelian torsion-free locally nilpotent (respectively torsion-free Baer) group G has only the trivial hereditary proper radical (in the sence of Kurosh). Any Baer (in particular, a Fitting) torsion-free group G has only the trivial hereditary proper radical (in the sence of Plotkin). Moreover, the Baer radical $\mathcal{B}G$ (respectively the Fitting radical $\mathcal{F}G$) of G is isolated in a torsion-free locally nilpotent group G.

0. Let \mathfrak{X} be an abstractive group theoretical property. A group G (respectively subgroup H of G) is said to be an \mathfrak{X} -group (respectively \mathfrak{X} -subgroup) if it has the property \mathfrak{X} .

Throughout this paper \mathfrak{N} be a class of groups closed under homomorphic images and normal subgroups. A mapping $\mathfrak{X}:\mathfrak{N}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{N}$ is called a radical (in the sense of Kurosh) if it assing to each group G of \mathfrak{N} the subgroup $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ which contains all normal \mathfrak{X} -subgroups of G and satisfies the following properties:

- $(R1) \ \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{X}(G)) = \mathfrak{X}(G)$ for each group $G \in \mathfrak{N}$;
- (R2) if $\phi: G \longrightarrow F$ is an epimorphism of groups G, F of \mathfrak{N} then $\phi(\mathfrak{X}(G)) \leqslant \mathfrak{X}(F)$;
- (R3) $\mathfrak{X}(G/\mathfrak{X}(G)) = E$ is the identity group for each $G \in \mathfrak{N}$.

The subgroup $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ of G is a radical of G (in the sense of Kurosh) if it satisfies the properties (R1) - (R3). Moreover, say that the radical $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ of G is hereditary if

(R4) $H \leq \mathfrak{X}(G)$ implies $\mathfrak{X}(H) = H$ for each normal subgroup H of $G, G \in \mathfrak{N}$. Recall that a subgroup H of torsion-free group G which coincides with their isolator

$$I_G(H) = \{ x \in G \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : x^n \in H \}$$

in G is said to be isolated in G.

Recall the following theorem, which we'll use later (see A.G. Kurosh [1], Yu.M. Ryabuhin [2], S.E. Dickson [3]; and Proposition 2.17 [4]).

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F19, 20E25, 20D25.

Proposition 0.1. A radical \mathfrak{X} is hereditary (in the sense of Kurosh) in the class of all Abelian groups \mathfrak{A} if and only if it is one of the following types:

- (1) R, i.e. R(G) = G for each $G \in \mathfrak{A}$;
- (2) R_{π} , i.e. for each $G \in \mathfrak{A}$ $R_{\pi}(G)$ is the maximal π -subgroup of G where π is some set of primes;
- (3) R_0 , i.e. $R_0(G) = E$ for each $G \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Most of our notation is standard; in particular we refer to [4–7]. Throughout this paper we shall use the following notation: $\mathcal{B}(G)$ denotes the Baer radical of G, $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the Fitting radical of G.

1. In this section we shall study the hereditary radicals (in the sense of Kurosh) of torsion-free locally nilpotent groups.

The following theorem is an extension of Proposition 0.1 to the class of all hypercentral groups \mathfrak{H} .

Theorem 1.1. A radical \mathfrak{X} is hereditary (in the sense of Kurosh) in the class of all hypercentral groups \mathfrak{H} if and only if it is of one of the following types:

- (1) R_0 , i.e. $R_0(G) = E$ for each $G \in \mathfrak{H}$;
- (2) R_{π} , i.e. $R_{\pi}(G)$ is the maximal π -subgroup of G for each $G \in \mathfrak{H}$ where π is some set of primes;
- (3) R, i.e. R(G) = G for each $G \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is any nontrivial hereditary radical of hypercentral group G, Z = Z(G) is the centre of G. Then $Z \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) \neq E$ [5, Proposition 1.6] and therefore $Z \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) = \mathfrak{X}(Z)$. Without restricting generality, we can assume that $\mathfrak{X}(Z) \neq Z$. Then in view of Proposition 0.1 $\mathfrak{X}(Z)$ is the maximal π -subgroup of Z for some set π of primes. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is a periodic group. If further $\mathfrak{X}(G) = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$ is a decomposition in a direct product of Sylow π -subgroup \mathfrak{X}_1 and π' -subgroup \mathfrak{X}_2 then from $\mathfrak{X}_2 \cap Z = E$ in view of Proposition 1.6 [5] we obtain that $\mathfrak{X}_2 = E$. Finally, since $\mathfrak{X}(Z)$ is the maximal π -subgroup of Z, we conclude that $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is the maximal π -subgroup of G; this completes the proof.

A sketch of proof allows us to obtain, for any radical (in the sense of Kurosh) in the class of all Abelian groups 21, its extension in the class of hypercentral groups. For example, an extension of Theorem 12 [1] is the following

Proposition 1.2. In the class of all hypercentral p-groups there exists only one nontrivial proper radical \mathfrak{D} , i.e. for each hypercentral p-group G the subgroup $\mathfrak{D}(G)$ is the divisible part of G.

Now we consider hereditary radicals in torsion-free groups. From Theorem 1.1 it follows

Corollary 1.3. For any radical (in the sense of Kurosh) \mathfrak{X} all infinite cyclic groups are either \mathfrak{X} -radical or \mathfrak{X} -semiprime.

Lemma 1.4. Let $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ be a nontrivial hereditary radical (in the sense of Kurosh) of torsion-free group G. Then for all subnormal cyclic subgroups A of G either $A \leq \mathfrak{X}(G)$ or $A \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) = E$.

Proof. In consequence of subnormality of A in G we have $\mathfrak{X}(A) = A \cap \mathfrak{X}(G)$ whence by Corollary 1.3 either $A \leq \mathfrak{X}(G)$ or $A \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) = E$, as desired.

Corollary 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ be a nontrivial hereditary radical (in the sense of Kurosh) of torsion-free group G. Then either $\mathcal{B}(G) \leq \mathfrak{X}(G)$ or $\mathcal{B}(G) \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) = E$.

In fact, if b is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{B}(G) \cap \mathfrak{X}(G)$ then

$$\mathfrak{X}(\langle b \rangle) = \langle b \rangle \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) = \langle b \rangle.$$

Therefore, for any element a of $\mathcal{B}(G) \setminus \mathfrak{X}(G)$ we have

$$\mathfrak{X}(\langle a \rangle) = \langle a \rangle \cap \mathfrak{X}(G) \neq \langle a \rangle,$$

a contradiction with Corollary 1.3.

Proposition 1.6. Any hyperabelian torsion-free locally nilpotent (respectively torsion-free Baer) group G has only the trivial hereditary proper radical (in the sense of Kurosh).

Proof. Let G be a hyperabelian torsion-free locally nilpotent group with normal series

$$E = A_0 \leqslant A_1 \leqslant \dots A_{\alpha} \leqslant A_{\alpha+1} \leqslant \dots \leqslant A_{\gamma} = G \tag{1}$$

such that $A_{\beta} = \bigcap_{\delta < \beta} A_{\delta}$ if β is a limit ordinals, the quotient $A_{\alpha+1}/A_{\alpha}$ is an Abelian torsion-free group for all $\alpha < \gamma$ and any term of the series (1) is a normal subgroups of G. Let $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ be an arbitrary nontrivial hereditary radical (in the sense of Kurosh) of G and G an arbitrary nontrivial subnormal cyclic subgroup of G. Then for every nontrivial element G of G in view of isomorphism G is an G we have G we have G is an arbitrary nontrivial consequently G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G of G in view of isomorphism G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G of G in view of isomorphism G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G of G in view of isomorphism G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G of G in view of isomorphism G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G of G in view of isomorphism G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G of G in G in G in G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G in G in G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G in G in G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G in G in G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G in G in G is an arbitrary nontrivial element G in G

Corollary 1.7. Let G be a torsion-free locally nilpotent group, $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ a hereditary radical (in the sense of Kurosh) of G. If

$$I_{\mathfrak{X}(G)}(\mathfrak{X}(G)') \neq \mathfrak{X}(G)$$

then the subgroup $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is isolated in G.

Corollary 1.8. Let G be a torsion-free group, $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ a hereditary radical (in the sense of Kurosh) of G. If the Baer radical $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}(G))$ of G is nontrivial then $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is isolated in G.

2. In accord with [8] a mapping $\theta: \mathfrak{N} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ is called a functorial if it assings to each group G of \mathfrak{N} some subgroup $\theta(G)$ of G and

$$\theta(\phi(G)) = \phi(\theta(G))$$

for every isomorfism $\phi: G \longrightarrow \phi(G)$. A functorial θ is hereditary radical in the class \mathfrak{N} (in the sense of Plotkin) if it satisfies the following condition:

- $(P1) \ \theta(\theta(G)) = \theta(G) \ \text{for all } G \in \mathfrak{N};$
- (P2) $\theta(H) = H \cap \theta(G)$ for every normal subgroup H of $G, G \in \mathfrak{N}$;
- (P3) $\pi(\theta(G)) \leq \theta(D)$ for all epimorphisms $\pi: G \longrightarrow D$ with $G, D \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\theta(G)$ be a hereditary radical (in the sense of Plotkin) of torsion-free group G. Then either $\mathcal{B}(G) \leq \theta(G)$ or $\mathcal{B}(G) \cap \theta(G) = E$.

Corollary 2.2. Any Baer (in particular a Fitting) torsion-free group G has only the trivial hereditary proper radical (in the sense of Plotkin).

Corollary 2.3. Any torsion-free hypercentral group of length at most ω has only the trivial proper hereditary radicals (in the sense of Plotkin).

Proposition 2.4. The Baer radical $\mathcal{B}(G)$ (respectively the Fitting radical $\mathcal{F}(G)$) of G is isolated in a torsion-free locally nilpotent group G.

Proof. If $a^n \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ for some nontrivial element a of $G \setminus \mathcal{B}(G)$ and some integer n then G has a normal series

$$\langle a^n \rangle \leqslant I_1 \leqslant \dots I_n = G$$

and consequently G has a normal series

$$I_G(\langle a^n \rangle) \leqslant I_G(I_1) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant G.$$

Since the isolator $I_G(\langle a^n \rangle)$ is Abelian [6, p.413], we conclude that the subgroup $\langle a \rangle$ is subnormal in G, and consequently $a \in \mathcal{B}(G)$.

- 1. Курош А.Г. Paduкалы в meopuu rpynn// Сиб. матем. ж. 1962. Т.3, N6. С. 912 934; поправка там же. 1965. Т.6, N3. С.715.
- 2. Рябухин Ю.М. *Классификация наследственных радикалов абелевых групп*// В кн.:Материалы 6 конференции молодых ученых Молдавии, 1964, секция физ.-мат.- Кишинев, 1965. C.74 75.
- Dickson S.E. On torsion classes of Abelian groups // J. Math. Soc. Japan. 1965. Vol.17, N1.
 P.30 35.
- 4. Мишина А.П., Скорняков Л.А. Абелевы группы и модули.- М.: Наука, 1969. 152 с.
- Черников С.Н. Группы с заданными свойствами систем подгрупп.- М.: Наука, 1980. 384 с.
- Курош А.Г. Теория групп.- М.: Наука, 1967. 648 с.
- 7. Андрунакиевич В.А., Рябухин Ю.М. Радикалы ассоциативных алгебр и структурная теория.- М.: Наука, 1979. 496 с.
- 8. Плоткин Б.И. *О функториалах, радикалах и корадикалах в группах //* Мат. записки (Свердловск). 1970. Т.7, тетрадь 3. С.150–182.

Стаття надійшла до редколегії 20.09.1997