

УДК 512.552

## IDEALS OF GORENSTEIN TILED ORDERS WHOSE FACTOR RINGS ARE QUASI-FROBENIUS

Janna CHERNOUSOVA, Viktor ZHURAVLEV

*Kyiv Taras Shevchenko National University,  
64 Volodymyrska Str. 01033 Kyiv, Ukraine*

The Gorenstein tiled orders whose the exponent matrices are the Cayley tables of finite groups are studied. The ideals of orders such that the factor rings modulo these ideals being quasi-Frobenius, are described.

*Key words:* Gorenstein tiled order, exponent matrix, adjacency matrix, quasi-Frobenius ring.

**1. Preliminaries.** The following result giving a constructive description of one class of semidistributive rings was proved in [5]:

**1.1. Theorem.** *A right Noetherian semiperfect semiprime and semidistributive ring is isomorphic to a finite direct product of the full matrix rings  $M_{m_k}(D_k)$  over skew fields  $D_k$ , and rings of the form:*

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O} & \pi^{\alpha_{12}}\mathcal{O} & \dots & \pi^{\alpha_{1n}}\mathcal{O} \\ \pi^{\alpha_{21}}\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \dots & \pi^{\alpha_{2n}}\mathcal{O} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \pi^{\alpha_{n1}}\mathcal{O} & \pi^{\alpha_{n2}}\mathcal{O} & \dots & \mathcal{O} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  is a discrete valuation ring with a prime element  $\pi$ ,  $\alpha_{ij}$  are integers, moreover,  $\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{jk} \geq \alpha_{ik}$  for all  $i, j, k$  and  $\alpha_{ii} = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Conversely, all such rings are Noetherian semiperfect semiprime and semidistributive ones.

Any ring of form (1) is called a semimaximal order (a tiled order). It is a prime two-sided Noetherian semiperfect ring.

We shall use the following notation:  $\Lambda = \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}(\Lambda)\}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda) = (\alpha_{ij})$  is the exponent matrix of a ring  $\Lambda$ . If a tiled order is reduced then  $\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{ji} > 0$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Every tiled order  $\Lambda$  can be embedded in a simple Artinian ring  $Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij}D$ , where  $D$  is the division ring of fractions of a ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . Here  $Q$  is both the left and right classical quotient ring of the ring  $\Lambda$ . Let  $I$  be a two-sided ideal of a tiled order  $\Lambda$ . Obviously,  $I = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij}\pi^{\beta_{ij}}\mathcal{O}$ , where  $e_{ij}$  are the matrix units. Denote by  $\mathcal{E}(I) = (\beta_{ij})$

the exponent matrix of an ideal  $I$ . Let  $I$  and  $J$  be two-sided ideals of the ring  $\Lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(I) = (\beta_{ij})$  and  $\mathcal{E}(J) = (\gamma_{ij})$ . We have  $\mathcal{E}(IJ) = (\delta_{ij})$ , where  $\delta_{ij} = \min_k (\beta_{ik} + \gamma_{kj})$ . If  $R$  is the Jacobson radical of a reduced tiled order  $\Lambda$  then  $\mathcal{E}(R) = (\beta_{ij})$ , where  $\beta_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\beta_{ii} = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $Q(\Lambda)$  be the quiver of a reduced tiled order  $\Lambda$  [6] and  $[Q(\Lambda)]$  the adjacency matrix of the quiver  $Q(\Lambda)$ . Evidently,  $[Q(\Lambda)]$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -matrix and  $[Q(\Lambda)] = \mathcal{E}(R^2) - \mathcal{E}(R)$ .

**1.2 Definition.** A tiled order  $\Lambda$  will be called a Gorenstein tiled order if  $\Lambda$  is a bijective  $\Lambda$ -lattice, i.e.  $\Lambda^*$  is a projective left  $\Lambda$ -lattice (see [7]).

Further the Gorenstein tiled order will be often called the Gorenstein order.

**1.3. Lemma.** [1, Lemma 3.2] The following conditions for a tiled order  $\Lambda = \{\mathcal{O}, E(\Lambda) = (\alpha_{pq})\}$  are equivalent:

- a) there exists a bijective  $\Lambda$ -lattice;
- b) there exist indices  $i, j$  such that  $\alpha_{ik} + \alpha_{kj} = \alpha_{ij}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

**1.4. Theorem.** [2] The following conditions for a reduced tiled order

$\Lambda = \{\mathcal{O}, E(\Lambda) = (\alpha_{pq})\}$  are equivalent:

- a)  $\Lambda$  is a Gorenstein order;
- b) there exists a permutation  $\sigma = \{i \rightarrow \sigma(i)\}$  such that  $\alpha_{ik} + \alpha_{k\sigma(i)} = \alpha_{i\sigma(i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

Proof follows immediately from Lemma 1.3.

Below the permutation  $\sigma$  will be called a Kirichenko permutation.

**1.5. Theorem.** [4] Let  $A_A$  be Noetherian.

a) The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $A_A$  is injective;
- 2)  $A_A$  is cogenerating;
- 3)  ${}_A A$  is injective;
- 4)  ${}_A A$  is cogenerating;
- 5)  $\forall M \subset A_A [rl(M) = M] \wedge \forall N \subset {}_A A [lr(N) = N]$ .

b) If the conditions from (p) hold then  $A$  is a two-sided Artinian ring.

(By  $l(M)$  and  $r(N)$  the annihilators of modules  $M$  and  $N$  are denoted, i.e.  $l(M) = \{a \in A \mid aM = 0\}$ ,  $r(N) = \{b \in A \mid Nb = 0\}$ ).

**1.6 Definition.** [4] A ring is called quasi-Frobenius (a  $QF$ -ring) if the conditions from the previous theorem are satisfied.

**1.7 Theorem.** [2] Let  $\Lambda = \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}(\Lambda)\}$  be a prime reduced Gorenstein tiled order with the Jacobson radical  $R$  and  $J$  be a two-sided ideal  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda \supset R^2 \supset J \supset R^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). The factor ring  $\Lambda/J$  is quasi-Frobenius ( $QF$ ) if and only if there exists  $p \in R^2$  such that  $J = p\Lambda = \Lambda p$ .

**2. Finite groups and Gorenstein orders.** Put  $G_0 = \{0\}$ . Denote by  $\Gamma_0$  a Gorenstein tiled order with the exponent matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_0) = (0)$ .

The matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the Cayley table of a cyclic group (2) and also the exponent matrix of the Gorenstein tiled order  $\Gamma_1$  with the Kirichenko permutation  $\sigma = (12)$ .

Denote by  $U_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  the square matrix of order  $n$ .

Clearly, the Cayley table of the Klein Viergruppe  $(2) \times (2)$  can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_1) & \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_1) + 2U_2 \\ \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_1) + 2U_2 & \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us consider the matrix

$$\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k-1}) & \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k-1}) + 2^{k-1}U_{2^{k-1}} \\ \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k-1}) + 2^{k-1}U_{2^{k-1}} & \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

**2.1. Proposition.**  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k)$  is the exponent matrix of a tiled order.

Evidently,

$$\Gamma_k = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{k-1} & \pi^{2^{k-1}}\Gamma_{k-1} \\ \pi^{2^{k-1}}\Gamma_{k-1} & \Gamma_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Induction on  $k$  easily yields that  $\Gamma_k$  is a tiled order.

Let  $G = H \times \langle g \rangle$  be a finite Abelian group,  $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ ,  $g^2 = e$ . We shall consider the Cayley table of the group  $H$  as the matrix  $K(H) = (h_{ij})$  with the entries in  $H$ , where  $h_{ij} = h_i h_j$ . The following proposition is obvious.

**2.2. Proposition.** The Cayley table of the group  $G$  is of the form

$$K(G) = \begin{pmatrix} K(H) & gK(H) \\ gK(H) & K(H) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**2.3. Proposition.**  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k)$  is the Cayley table of a group  $G_k$  of order  $2^k$ .

The proof is based on induction on  $k$ . The basis of induction have been already considered. If  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k-1})$  is the Cayley table of a group of order  $2^{k-1}$  then by 2.2. Proposition  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k)$  is the Cayley table of a group  $G_k$  of order  $2^k$ .

**2.4. Proposition.** A tiled order  $\Gamma_k$  is Gorenstein with the Kirichenko permutation  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & 2^k - 1 & 2^k \\ 2^k & 2^k - 1 & 2^k - 2 & \dots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let us prove by induction on  $k$  that the tiled order  $\Gamma_k$  is Gorenstein. For  $k = 1$  this is obvious. Let the tiled order  $\Gamma_k$  be Gorenstein with the exponent matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k) = (\alpha_{ij}^k)$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k$ ) and the Kirichenko permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_k$ , where  $\sigma_k(i) = 2^k + 1 - i$ . Then  $\alpha_{ij}^k + \alpha_{j\sigma_k(i)}^k = \alpha_{i\sigma_k(i)}^k$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k$ . Since

$$\alpha_{2^k+i,j}^{k+1} = \alpha_{i,2^k+j}^{k+1} = \alpha_{ij}^k + 2^k, \quad \alpha_{2^k+i,2^k+j}^{k+1} = \alpha_{ij}^{k+1} = \alpha_{ij}^k \text{ for all } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k, \quad (4)$$

we have  $(\alpha_{ij}^k + 2^k) + \alpha_{j\sigma_k(i)}^k = \alpha_{ij}^k + (2^k + \alpha_{j\sigma_k(i)}^k) = \alpha_{i\sigma_k(i)}^k + 2^k$ . Thus, taking into account (4) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ij}^{k+1} + \alpha_{j,2^k+\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1} &= \alpha_{i,2^k+\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1}, & \alpha_{i,2^k+j}^{k+1} + \alpha_{2^k+j,2^k+\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1} &= \alpha_{i,2^k+\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1}, \\ \alpha_{2^k+i,2^k+j}^{k+1} + \alpha_{2^k+j,\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1} &= \alpha_{2^k+i,\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1}, & \alpha_{2^k+i,j}^{k+1} + \alpha_{j\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1} &= \alpha_{2^k+i,\sigma_k(i)}^{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k$ . Putting  $\sigma_{k+1}(i) = 2^k + \sigma_k(i)$ ,  $\sigma_{k+1}(2^k + i) = \sigma_k(i)$ , we have  $\alpha_{pq}^{k+1} + \alpha_{q\sigma_{k+1}(p)}^{k+1} = \alpha_{p\sigma_{k+1}(p)}^{k+1}$  for all  $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{k+1}$ , i.e. the tiled order  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  is Gorenstein with the Kirichenko permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_{k+1}$ , where  $\sigma_{k+1}(i) = 2^{k+1} + 1 - i$ .

**2.5. Theorem.** *The Cayley table of a finite group  $G$  is the exponent matrix of a reduced Gorenstein tiled order if and only if  $G = G_k = (2) \times \dots \times (2)$ .*

*Proof.* The Cayley table of an Abelian 2-group  $G_k$  has form (2) and is the exponent matrix of a tiled order  $\Gamma_k$ .

Conversely, let  $G$  be a finite group and its Cayley table be the exponent matrix of a reduced Gorenstein tiled order. Then for any  $g \in G$  we obtain  $g^2 = e$  and  $G$  is an elementary Abelian 2-group. The theorem is proved.

Let us calculate the adjacency matrix of the quiver  $Q(\Gamma_k)$ . For this aim we present the tiled order  $\Gamma_k$  in form (3).

Let  $R_k = \text{rad}\Gamma_k$  be the Jacobson radical of the ring  $\Gamma_k$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k) = (\alpha_{ij}^k)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(R_k) = (r_{ij}^k)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(R_k^2) = (\beta_{ij}^k)$ . Then

$$R_k = \begin{pmatrix} R_{k-1} & \pi^{2^{k-1}}\Gamma_{k-1} \\ \pi^{2^{k-1}}\Gamma_{k-1} & R_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}, R_k^2 = \begin{pmatrix} R_{k-1}^2 + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_{k-1} & \pi^{2^{k-1}}R_{k-1}\Gamma_{k-1} \\ \pi^{2^{k-1}}R_{k-1}\Gamma_{k-1} & R_{k-1}^2 + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As  $r_{ij}^{k-1} \leq 2^{k-1}$  so  $\beta_{ij}^{k-1} \leq 2^k \leq 2^k + \alpha_{ij}^{k-1}$ . Therefore  $R_{k-1}^2 + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_{k-1} = R_{k-1}^2$ .

The equality  $(\text{rad}A)A = A(\text{rad}A) = \text{rad}A$  holds for any prime tiled order  $A$ . Hence  $\pi^{2^{k-1}}R_{k-1}\Gamma_{k-1} = \pi^{2^{k-1}}R_{k-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}(\pi^{2^{k-1}}R_{k-1}) - \mathcal{E}(\pi^{2^{k-1}}\Gamma_{k-1}) = (2^{k-1} + \mathcal{E}(R_{k-1}) - (2^{k-1} + \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k-1}))) = E$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(R_k^2) - \mathcal{E}(R_k) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}(R_{k-1}^2) - \mathcal{E}(R_{k-1}) & E \\ E & \mathcal{E}(R_{k-1}^2) - \mathcal{E}(R_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this follows that

$$[Q(\Gamma_k)] = \begin{bmatrix} [Q(\Gamma_{k-1})] & E \\ E & [Q(\Gamma_{k-1})] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us compute the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_k(x) = \chi_{[Q(\Gamma_k)]}(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{k+1}(x) &= |xE - [Q(\Gamma_{k+1})]| = \begin{vmatrix} xE - [Q(\Gamma_k)] & -E \\ -E & xE - [Q(\Gamma_k)] \end{vmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} xE - [Q(\Gamma_k)] - E & 0 \\ -E & xE - [Q(\Gamma_k)] + E \end{vmatrix} = \\ &= |(x-1)E - [Q(\Gamma_k)]| \cdot |(x+1)E - [Q(\Gamma_k)]| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\chi_{k+1}(x) = \chi_k(x-1) \cdot \chi_k(x+1). \quad (5)$$

Since  $\chi_1(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x-1 & -1 \\ -1 & x-1 \end{vmatrix} = x(x-2)$ , we have  $\chi_2(x) = (x-3)(x-1)(x-1)(x+1) = (x-3)(x-1)^2(x+1)$ ,  $\chi_3(x) = (x-4)(x-2)^2x(x-2)x^2(x+2) = (x-4)(x-2)^3x^3(x+2)$ .

**2.6. Proposition.**  $\chi_m(x) = \prod_{i=0}^m (x - m - 1 + 2i)^{C_m^i}$ .

We shall prove this proposition by induction. The basis of induction is clear. Suppose that the formula is true for  $m = k$ . Then by formula (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{k+1}(x) &= \prod_{i=0}^k (x - k - 2 + 2i)^{C_k^i} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^k (x - k + 2j)^{C_k^j} = \\ &= (x - k - 2) \prod_{i=1}^k (x - k - 2 + 2i)^{C_k^i} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (x - k + 2j)^{C_k^j} (x + k) = \\ &= (x - k - 2) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - k + 2i)^{C_k^{i+1}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (x - k + 2j)^{C_k^j} (x + k) = \\ &= (x - k - 2) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - k + 2i)^{C_k^i + C_k^{i+1}} (x + k).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{As } C_k^i + C_k^{i+1} = C_{k+1}^{i+1}, \text{ then } \chi_{k+1}(x) &= (x - k - 2) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - k + 2i)^{C_{k+1}^{i+1}} (x + k) = \\ &= (x - k - 2) \prod_{j=1}^k (x - k + 2(j-1))^{C_{k+1}^j} (x + k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k+1} (x - (k+1) - 1 + 2j)^{C_{k+1}^j}.\end{aligned}$$

By induction it is easily to prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} q_{ij}(\Gamma_k) = k + 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} q_{ij}(\Gamma_k) = k + 1$ . So  $[Q(\Gamma_k)] = (k + 1)P_k$ , where  $P_k$  is a twice stochastic matrix.

**3. On quasi-Frobenius factor rings of Gorenstein tiled orders.** In this section we describe all two-sided ideals  $I$  of a Gorenstein prime tiled order  $A = \Gamma_k$  such that  $I$  alies in square of the Jacobson radical of the ring  $A$  and the factor ring  $A/I$  is quasi-Frobenius.

Recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between a two-sided ideal  $I$  and the exponent matrix  $\mathcal{E}(I)$  also, and , besides, the inequalities  $i_{pq} + \alpha_{qt} \geq i_{pt}$  and  $\alpha_{pq} + i_{qt} \geq i_{pt}$  hold.

From the results of paper [2] it follows that the factor ring  $A/I$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if there are isomorphisms  $I \simeq A_A$ ,  $I \simeq_A A$ .

**3.1. Proposition.** Let  $I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ N & T \end{pmatrix}$  be an ideal of the ring

$$\Gamma_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k} \Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k} \Gamma_k & \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then } L, M, N, T \text{ are ideals of the ring } \Gamma_k, \hat{I}_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ L & M \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{I}_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} M & L \\ T & N \end{pmatrix} \text{ are ideals of the ring } \Gamma_{k+1}, \text{ too.}$$

*Proof.* Since  $I_{k+1}$  is an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$ , we have

$$I_{k+1} \Gamma_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ N & T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k} \Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k} \Gamma_k & \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{pmatrix} L\Gamma_k + M\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & L\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k + M\Gamma_k \\ N\Gamma_k + T\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & N\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k + T\Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} = I_{k+1}, \\
\Gamma_{k+1}I_{k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ N & T \end{pmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k L + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k N & \Gamma_k M + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k T \\ \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k L + \Gamma_k N & \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k M + \Gamma_k T \end{pmatrix} = I_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following equalities:  $L\Gamma_k + M\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k = L$ ,  $L\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k + M\Gamma_k = M$ ,  $N\Gamma_k + T\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k = N$ ,  $N\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k + T\Gamma_k = T$ ,  $\Gamma_k L + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k N = L$ ,  $\Gamma_k M + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k T = M$ ,  $\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k L + \Gamma_k N = N$ ,  $\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k M + \Gamma_k T = T$ .

Thus,  $L, M, N, T$  are ideals of the ring  $\Gamma_k$ . Taking into account these equalities we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{I}_{k+1}\Gamma_{k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ L & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} N\Gamma_k + T\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & N\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k + T\Gamma_k \\ L\Gamma_k + M\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & L\pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k + M\Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ L & M \end{pmatrix} = \hat{I}_{k+1}, \\
\Gamma_{k+1}\hat{I}_{k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k & \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ L & M \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k N + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k L & \Gamma_k T + \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k M \\ \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k N + \Gamma_k L & \pi^{2^k}\Gamma_k T + \Gamma_k M \end{pmatrix} = \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ L & M \end{pmatrix} = \hat{I}_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\hat{I}_{k+1}$  is an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$ . Analogously, it can be proved that  $\tilde{I}_{k+1}$  is an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$ . The proposition is proved.

**3.2. Proposition.** *Let  $I_{k+1}$  be an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is quasi-Frobenius. Then  $I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & \pi^{2^k}I_k \\ \pi^{2^k}I_k & I_k \end{pmatrix}$  or*

*$I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2^k}I_k & I_k \\ I_k & \pi^{2^k}I_k \end{pmatrix}$  where  $I_k$  is an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_k$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_k/I_k$  is quasi-Frobenius.*

*Proof.* The entries of the rows of the matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k+1})$  have such a property: if  $i, l \leq 2^k$  or  $i, l > 2^k$  then  $|\alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{lj}| < 2^k$  for any  $j$ ; if  $i \leq 2^k, l > 2^k$  or  $i > 2^k, l \leq 2^k$  then there exist at the least two values  $j$  and  $s$  such that  $\alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{lj} \geq 2^k$  and  $\alpha_{is} - \alpha_{ls} \leq 2^k$ . The elements of the columns of the matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k+1})$  possess this property, too.

Let  $I_{k+1}$  be an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is quasi-Frobenius,  $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{2^{k+1}}$  be a decomposition of the identity of the ring into a sum of local pairwise orthogonal idempotents. Hence by [2] for any  $i$  there exists  $t$  such that the right module  $e_i I_{k+1}$  is isomorphic to the indecomposable projective right module  $e_t \Gamma_{k+1} = P_t$ . Analogously, for any  $j$  there exists  $m$  such that the left module  $I_{k+1} e_j$  is isomorphic to the indecomposable projective left module  $\Gamma_{k+1} e_m = Q_m$ . Note that if  $e_i I_{k+1} \simeq P_t$ ,  $I_{k+1} e_j \simeq Q_m$  and  $\mathcal{E}(I_{k+1}) = (\kappa_{uv})$  so by [1]  $\kappa_{iv} = \alpha_{tv} + a_i$  for all  $v$ ,  $\kappa_{uj} = \alpha_{um} + b_j$  for all  $u$  ( $a_i, b_j$  are some integers).

Let  $e_i I_{k+1} \simeq P_t$  and  $e_j I_{k+1} \simeq P_m$ . Assume that  $i, j \leq 2^k, t \leq 2^k$  and  $m > 2^k$ . Then  $\kappa_{iv} = \alpha_{tv} + a_i, \kappa_{jv} = \alpha_{mv} + a_j$ . Let  $a_i \geq a_j$ . By the property of the rows of



the matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k+1})$  there exists  $w$  such that  $\alpha_{tw} - \alpha_{mw} \geq 2^k$ . Hence  $\kappa_{iw} - \kappa_{jw} = \alpha_{tw} + a_i - (\alpha_{mw} + a_j) \geq 2^k$ . Since  $0 \leq \alpha_{lw} < 2^k$  for all  $0 < l \leq 2^k$ , or  $2^k \leq \alpha_{lw} < 2^{k+1}$  for all  $0 < l \leq 2^k$  then if  $i, j \leq 2^k$  we have  $\kappa_{iw} - \kappa_{jw} = \alpha_{iz} + b_w - (\alpha_{jz} + b_w) < 2^k$ , where  $z$  satisfies the condition  $I_{k+1}e_w \simeq Q_z$ . We obtain contradiction.

Analogously, the case  $t > 2^k$  and  $m \leq 2^k$  is impossible for  $i, j \leq 2^k$ .

So for  $i, j \leq 2^k$  two cases are possible : a)  $t, m \leq 2^k$ , b)  $t, m > 2^k$ .

By Proposition 3.1, if  $I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ N & T \end{pmatrix}$  is an ideal of the order  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  then  $L, M, N, T$  are ideals of the order  $\Gamma_k$ .

In case a) for every  $i \leq 2^k$  there exists  $t \leq 2^k$  such that  $e_i I_{k+1} \simeq P_t$ .

Since  $\alpha_{t, 2^k+v} = \alpha_{t,v} + 2^k$  for  $v \leq 2^k$ , we have  $\kappa_{i, 2^k+v} = \alpha_{t, 2^k+v} + a_i = \alpha_{tv} + 2^k + a_i = \kappa_{iv} + 2^k$ , that is we have  $M = \pi^{2^k} L$ .

For every  $j > 2^k$  there exists  $m > 2^k$  such that  $e_j I_{k+1} \simeq P_m$ . Since  $\alpha_{m,v} = \alpha_{m, 2^k+v} + 2^k$  for  $v \leq 2^k$ , hence  $\kappa_{jv} = \alpha_{mv} + a_j = \alpha_{m, 2^k+v} + 2^k + a_j = \kappa_{j, 2^k+v} + 2^k$ , i.e.  $N = \pi^{2^k} T$ .

In case b) we obtain analogously  $L = \pi^{2^k} M$ ,  $T = \pi^{2^k} N$ .

Now let us examine the entries of the columns of the matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{k+1})$ . Let  $I_{k+1}e_i \simeq Q_p$  and  $I_{k+1}e_j \simeq Q_r$ ,  $i, j \leq 2^k$ . For these entries as well as for the elements of the rows of this matrix there are two possible cases: c)  $p, r \leq 2^k$ , d)  $p, r > 2^k$ .

Suppose that in case a) for the entries of the rows we have case d) for the elements of the columns. Then we obtain  $L = \pi^{2^k} N$  and  $T = \pi^{2^k} M$ .

Since  $M = \pi^{2^k} L$  and  $N = \pi^{2^k} T$ , we obtain

$$L = \pi^{2^k} N = \pi^{2^k} \pi^{2^k} T = \pi^{2^{k+1}} T \text{ and } T = \pi^{2^k} M = \pi^{2^k} \pi^{2^k} L = \pi^{2^{k+1}} L.$$

Thus,  $L = T = N = M = 0$  and  $I_{k+1} = 0$ .

In case c) for the entries of the columns we obtain  $N = \pi^{2^k} L$ ,  $M = \pi^{2^k} T$ . As  $M = \pi^{2^k} L$  and  $N = \pi^{2^k} T$  so  $L = T$ , and therefore  $M = N$ .

Denote  $L = I_k$ , then  $T = I_k$ ,  $M = N = \pi^{2^k} I_k$ . Thus, in case a) we have

$$I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & \pi^{2^k} I_k \\ \pi^{2^k} I_k & I_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Analogously in case b)

$$I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2^k} I_k & I_k \\ I_k & \pi^{2^k} I_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

It remains to prove only the factor ring  $\Gamma_k/I_k$  is quasi-Frobenius. In case a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{iv} &= \alpha_{tv} + a_i & \text{for all } v = 1, \dots, 2^{k+1}, \\ \kappa_{uj} &= \alpha_{uj} + b_j & \text{for all } u = 1, \dots, 2^{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}(I_k) = (\kappa_{uv})$ , where  $u, v \leq 2^k$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k) = (\alpha_{ij})$ ,  $i, j \leq 2^k$ , the equalities (6) are true for the entries of the matrices  $\mathcal{E}(I_k)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k)$ . Therefore by [1]  $e_i I_k \simeq e_t \Gamma_k$  and  $I_k e_j \simeq \Gamma_k e_m$ . In view of [2] we obtain that the factor ring  $\Gamma_k/I_k$  is quasi-Frobenius.

This completes the proof of the proposition.

**3.3. Proposition.** *There exist  $2^{k+1}$  essentially different ideals  $I_{k+1}$  of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is quasi-Frobenius.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.2, it follows that on the basis of any ideal  $I_k$  such that  $\Gamma_k/I_k$  is a QF-ring one can construct two ideals of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  such that  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is a QF-ring. So the number of the ideals of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  is twice as many as the number of the ideals of the ring  $\Gamma_k$  (with the property  $\Gamma_k/I_k$  being a QF-ring). As  $\Gamma_0 = \mathcal{O}$  has the unique non-isomorphic ideal, by induction it is easily to obtain the proposition.

Let  $I_{k+1}$  be an ideal of the ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is quasi-Frobenius. Therefore by Proposition 3.2 the transformation  $I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ N & T \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \hat{I}_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} N & T \\ L & M \end{pmatrix}$  is equivalent to the transformation  $I_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ N & T \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \bar{I}_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} M & L \\ T & N \end{pmatrix}$ . By such transformations we obtain again an ideal  $\bar{I}_{k+1} = \hat{I}_{k+1} = \bar{I}_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/\bar{I}_{k+1}$  is quasi-Frobenius.

**3.4. Proposition.** *By the above-indicated transformations any ideal  $I_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is a QF-ring can be obtained from the principal ideal  $\tilde{I}_{k+1} = \pi^p \Gamma_{k+1}$ .*

*Proof.* The transformation  $I_{k+1} \rightarrow \bar{I}_{k+1}$  is invertible, hence it is sufficient to show that any ideal  $I_{k+1}$  such that the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I_{k+1}$  is a QF-ring can be reduced to the principal ideal  $\tilde{I}_{k+1} = \pi^p \Gamma_{k+1}$ .

Suppose that an ideal  $I_{s+1}$  has the form  $I_{s+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{2^k} I_s & I_s \\ I_s & \pi^{2^k} I_s \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $s \leq k$ . Then  $\bar{I}_{s+1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_s & \pi^{2^k} I_s \\ \pi^{2^k} I_s & I_s \end{pmatrix}$ . Along with this, the ideal  $I_{k+1}$  can be transformed into an ideal  $I'_{k+1}$  but the factor ring  $\Gamma_{k+1}/I'_{k+1}$  still remains quasi-Frobenius. Therefore by the indicated transformations the ideal  $I_{k+1}$  is reduced to the ideal  $\tilde{I}_{k+1}$  for which  $\tilde{I}_{s+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{I}_s & \pi^{2^k} \tilde{I}_s \\ \pi^{2^k} \tilde{I}_s & \tilde{I}_s \end{pmatrix}$  for all  $s \leq k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{I}_{k+1}) = (\tilde{\kappa}_{uv})$  and  $\tilde{\kappa}_{11} = p$ . Then  $\tilde{I}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{I}_0 & \pi^{2^0} \tilde{I}_0 \\ \pi^{2^0} \tilde{I}_0 & \tilde{I}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{I}_0 & \pi \tilde{I}_0 \\ \pi \tilde{I}_0 & \tilde{I}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^p \mathcal{O} & \pi^{p+1} \mathcal{O} \\ \pi^{p+1} \mathcal{O} & \pi^p \mathcal{O} \end{pmatrix} = \pi^p \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O} & \pi \mathcal{O} \\ \pi \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \end{pmatrix} = \pi^p \Gamma_1$ .

Assume that  $\tilde{I}_k = \pi^p \Gamma_k$ . Hence  $\tilde{I}_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{I}_k & \pi^{2^k} \tilde{I}_k \\ \pi^{2^k} \tilde{I}_k & \tilde{I}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^p \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k} \pi^p \Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k} \pi^p \Gamma_k & \pi^p \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} = \pi^p \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_k & \pi^{2^k} \Gamma_k \\ \pi^{2^k} \Gamma_k & \Gamma_k \end{pmatrix} = \pi^p \Gamma_{k+1}$ .

By induction we have proved that  $\tilde{I}_{k+1} = \pi^p \Gamma_{k+1}$ .

Obviously,  $e_i \tilde{I}_{k+1} \simeq P_i$ ,  $\tilde{I}_{k+1} e_j \simeq Q_j$ . We note that by the indicated transformations the first column of the matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k)$  (and the others, too) always turns into another column of this matrix. If the first column turns into  $l$ -th column then for any  $i \leq 2^k$  there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $\alpha_{i1} = \alpha_{lr}$ . So by such transformations every principal ideal turns into an ideal  $I_k$  for which  $e_l I_k \simeq P_i$ ,  $I_k e_l \simeq Q_i$ . As



$\alpha_{i1} = i - 1 = \alpha_{lr}$  so  $i = \alpha_{lr} + 1$ . Therefore

$$e_l I_k \simeq P_{1+\alpha_{lr}}, I_k e_l \simeq Q_{1+\alpha_{lr}}. \quad (7w)$$

The exponent matrix  $\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_k)$  possesses  $2^k$  columns. Let us enumerate  $2^k$  ideals in such a way that every ideal for which (3) holds has number  $r$ . Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

**3.5. Theorem.** *There exist exactly  $2^k$  essentially different ideals  $I_{kr}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k$ , such that the factor rings  $\Gamma_k/I_{kr}$  are quasi-Frobenius. Besides,  $e_l I_k \simeq P_{1+\alpha_{lr}}$ ,  $I_k e_l \simeq Q_{1+\alpha_{lr}}$ .*

- 
1. *Zavadskij A. G., Kirichenko V. V.* Torsion-free Modules over Prime Rings // *Zap. Nauch. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Steklov. Inst. (LOMI)*. – 1976. – Vol. 57. – P. 100-116 (in Russian).
  2. *Kirichenko V. V.* On quasi-Frobenius rings and Gorenstein orders // *Trudy Mat. Steklov. Inst.* – 1978. – Vol. 148. – P. 168-174 (in Russian).
  3. *Zavadskij A. G.* The structure of orders with completely decomposable representations // *Mat. Zametki*. – Vol. 13. – № 2. – 1973. – P. 325-335 (in Russian).
  4. *Kash F.* Modules and rings. – M., 1981 (in Russian).
  5. *Kirichenko V. V., Khibina M. A.* Semi-perfect semi-distributive rings. In *Infinite Groups and Related Algebraic Topics*. – Institute of Mathematics NAS Ukraine. – 1993. – P. 457-480 (in Russian).
  6. *Kirichenko V. V.* Semi-perfect rings and their quivers // *Ann. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanza*. – 1996. – Vol. 4. – № 2. – P. 89-96.
  7. *Roggenkamp Klaus W., Kirichenko Vladimir V., Khibina Marina A., Zhuravlev Victor N.* Gorenstein tiled orders // *Communication in Algebra*. – 2001. – 29(9). – P. 4231-4247.

## ІДЕАЛИ ГОРЕНШТЕЙНОВИХ ЧЕРЕПИЧНИХ ПОРЯДКІВ, ФАКТОР-КІЛЬЦЯ ЗА ЯКИМИ КВАЗІФРОБЕНІУСОВІ

В. Журавльов, Ж. Черноусова

Київський національний університет імені Тараса Шевченка,  
вул. Володимирська, 64 01033 Київ, Україна

Вивчено горенштейновий порядок, матриця показників якого є таблицею Келі скінченної групи. Описано ідеали цього порядку, фактор-кільця за якими є квазіфробеніусовими.

*Ключові слова:* горенштейновий черепичний порядок, матриця показників, матриця суміжності, квазіфробеніусове кільце.

Стаття надійшла до редколегії 29.03.2002

Прийнята до друку 14.03.2003