

УДК 512.544+519.46

## ON ASSOCIATED GROUPS OF RINGS SATISFYING FINITENESS CONDITIONS

Yuriy ISHCHUK

*Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, 1 Universitetska Str. 79000 Lviv, Ukraine*

We consider the construction of associated group of a ring with identity element. The characterization of rings with periodic, FC-group, nilpotent associated group are given. It is shown that some finiteness conditions or commutativity of a ring  $R$  follow from the finiteness conditions of the associated group  $G(R)$ .

*Key words:* associated group of a ring, adjoint group, FC-group, periodic group.

1. Let  $R$  be an associative ring with an identity element. The set of all elements of  $R$  forms a semigroup with the identity element  $0 \in R$  under the operation  $a \circ b = a + b + ab$  for all  $a$  and  $b$  of  $R$ . The group of all invertible elements of this semigroup is called the *adjoint group* of  $R$  and is denoted by  $R^\circ$ . Clearly, if  $R$  has the identity 1, then  $1 + R^\circ$  coincides with the group of units  $U(R)$  of the ring  $R$  and the map  $a \rightarrow 1 + a$  with  $a \in R$  is an isomorphism from  $R^\circ$  onto  $U(R)$ .

Many authors have studied the rings with prescribed adjoint groups (or equivalently, groups of units) (see, for example, [1–16]).

This paper is concerned with the question of how properties of associated group influence some characteristic of rings structure. The idea of associated group was introduced in [1] for radical ring. We extend this construction to arbitrary associative rings with identity element.

In Sections 3, 4, 5 we obtain some results on rings determined by their associated groups which are periodic, FC-groups, nilpotent groups. It is proved that finiteness conditions of the associated group  $G(R)$  imply some finiteness conditions or commutativity of a ring  $R$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $R$  be an associative ring (not necessarily with identity element) and  $R^\circ$  its adjoint group. In the same way as in [1] we consider the set of pair  $G(R) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in R, y \in R^\circ\}$  and define an operation by the rule

$$(x, y)(u, v) = (y \cdot u + u + x, y \circ v). \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be an associative ring. Then  $G(R) = A \rtimes B$  is a group with the neutral element  $(0, 0)$  with respect to the operation (2.1), where  $A = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in R\} \cong R^+$ ,  $B = \{(0, y) \mid y \in R^\circ\} \cong R^\circ$ .

Following [1], the group  $G(R)$  will be called the *associated group* of the ring  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be an associative ring with associated group  $G(R)$ . If  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  with associated group  $G(S) = X \rtimes Y$  then following statements are true:*

- (i)  $G(S) \leq G(R)$ ,  $X \leq A$ ,  $Y \leq B$ ;
- (ii) if  $S$  is a left ideal of the ring  $R$ , then  $X \triangleleft G(R)$ ;
- (iii) if  $X \triangleleft G(R)$ , then  $rS \leq S$  for all  $r \in R$ ;
- (iv) if  $S$  is a right ideal of the ring  $R$ , then  $G(S) \triangleleft A \rtimes Y$ ;
- (v) if  $G(S) \triangleleft A \rtimes Y$ , then  $S^\circ R \leq S$ ;
- (vi) if  $S$  a two-side ideal of the ring  $R$ , then  $G(S) \triangleleft G(R)$ ,  $X \triangleleft G(R)$ ;
- (vii)  $C_A(B) = \{(a, 0) \mid a \in \text{Ann}_r(R^\circ)\}$ ,  $C_B(A) = \{(0, b) \mid b \in R^\circ \text{ and } b \in \text{Ann}_l(R)\}$ ; in particular, if  $R$  is a ring with identity, then  $C_B(A) = \langle (0, 0) \rangle$  and if  $R$  is a domain, then  $C_B(A) = C_A(B) = \langle (0, 0) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* (i) is immediate from Definition 2.1.

(ii) Let  $S$  be a left ideal of ring  $R$  and  $rs \in S$  for all elements  $r \in R$  and for all elements  $s \in S$ . Then for an arbitrary element  $(a, b) \in G(R)$  and arbitrary element  $(x, 0) \in X$  we have

$$(a, b)^{-1}(x, 0)(a, b) = (b^{(-1)}x + x, 0) \in X, \quad (2.2)$$

hence  $X$  is a normal subgroup in  $G(R)$ .

(iii) If  $X \triangleleft G(R)$ , then (2.2) implies that  $b^{(-1)}x \in S$  for all  $b \in R^\circ$  and all  $x \in S$ .

(iv) Let  $S$  be a right ideal of the ring  $R$  and  $sr \in S$  for all  $s \in S$ ,  $r \in R$ . Then for all elements  $(x, y) \in X \rtimes Y$  and all  $(a, c) \in A \rtimes Y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a, c)^{-1}(x, y)(a, c) &= (-c^{(-1)}a - a, c^{(-1)})(x, y)(a, c) = \\ &= (ya + c^{(-1)}ya + c^{(-1)}x + x, y + c^{(-1)}y + yc + c^{(-1)}yc) \in X \rtimes Y, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

because  $c, y \in S$ . Therefore  $G(R) \triangleleft A \rtimes Y$ .

(v) If  $c = 0$ , then (2.3) yields  $S^\circ R \leq S$ .

(vi) Since  $S$  is a two-side ideal of the ring  $R$ , for arbitrary elements  $(x, y) \in X \rtimes Y$  and  $(u, v) \in G(R)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)^{-1}(x, y)(u, v) &= \\ &= (yu + v^{(-1)}yu + v^{(-1)}x + x, y + v^{(-1)}y + yv + v^{(-1)}yv) \in G(S). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, if  $y = 0$  then  $(u, v)^{-1}(x, 0)(u, v) = (v^{(-1)}x + x, 0) \in X$ , hence  $X \triangleleft G(R)$ .

(vii) Let  $(a, 0) \in C_A(B)$ . Then for arbitrary elements  $(0, b) \in B$  we have

$$(0, b) = (a, 0)^{-1}(0, b)(a, 0) = (ba, b) \quad (2.5)$$

and consequently  $ba = 0$  for all  $b \in R^\circ$ . Therefore  $a \in \text{Ann}_r(R^\circ)$ . The converse statement is also true.

Let  $(0, b) \in C_B(A)$ . Then for all elements  $(a, 0) \in A$  we have

$$(a, 0) = (0, b)^{-1}(a, 0)(0, b) = (b^{(-1)}a + a, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

and hence  $b^{(-1)}a = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ . It follows that

$$0 = 0 \cdot a = (b + b^{(-1)} + bb^{(-1)})a = ba, \quad (2.7)$$

hence  $b \in \text{Ann}_l(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $I \leq J(R)$ . Then*

$$G(R/I) \cong G(R)/G(I). \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* Let  $G(R) = A \rtimes B$  (respectively  $G(I) = X \rtimes Y$ ,  $G(R/I) = C \rtimes D$ ) be an associated group of the ring  $R$  (respectively of the ideal  $I$ , of the quotient-ring  $R/I$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(R)/G(I) &= AB/G(I) \cong AG(I)/G(I) \cdot BG(I)/G(I) = \\ &= (AXY/XY) \rtimes (BXY/XY) = (AY/XY) \rtimes (XB/XY). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} D &\cong (R/I)^\circ \cong R^\circ/I^\circ \cong B/Y \cong XB/XY, \\ C &\cong (R/I)^+ \cong R^+/I^+ \cong A/X \cong AY/XY. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

(2.8) is immediate from the above equations.

The next corollary follows from Lemma 4.2 [3].

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be unital subring of ring  $R$  such that  $|R^+ : S^+| < \infty$ . Then  $|G(R) : G(S)| < \infty$ .*

**3. Rings with Periodic Associated Group.** By analogy with Lemma 1.1 [3] the following lemma can be proved.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $J = J(R)$  its Jacobson radical. Then  $G(R)$  is a periodic group if and only if  $J$  is a nil ideal with periodic additive group  $J^+$  and the group  $G(R/J)$  is periodic.*

**Remark 3.2.** *It is clear that for any ring  $R$  with identity the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) the group  $G(R)$  is periodic if and only if so is the group of units  $U(R)$ ;
- 2)  $\text{char} R$  is finite.

Let us recall that a field  $T$  is *absolute* if  $T$  is a field of prime characteristic  $p$  and  $T$  is an algebraic extension of its simple subfields. Hence the multiplicative group  $T^*$  of an absolute field  $T$  is a periodic  $p'$ -group.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Suppose that  $R$  has no zero divisors and  $Q(R)$  its field of quotients. Then  $G(Q(R))$  is a periodic group if and only if  $R$  is an absolute field.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Sufficiency of the lemma is clear.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $G(Q(R))$  is a periodic group. Then for all elements  $r \in R$  there exists  $n = n(r) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r^n = 1$ . Therefore the element  $r$  is invertible in  $R$ . The lemma is proved.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and suppose that  $R$  has no zero divisors. Then  $G(R)$  is periodic group if and only if the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $P[x]$  is a field, where  $P$  is simple subfield of  $R$ ;
- 2) the element  $x \in R$  is algebraic over  $P$ ;
- 3)  $x \in U(R)$ .

*Proof.* Necessity. Suppose that the group  $G(R)$  is periodic. Then  $\text{char} R = p$ , where  $p$  is prime.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $P[x]$  is a field, then the element  $x$  is invertible. It follows that  $x^n = 1$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $x$  is algebraic over  $P$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $x$  is algebraic over  $P$ , then the domain  $P[x]$  is finite and therefore it is a field.

Implications (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are obvious.

Sufficiency. Suppose that the items (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent for the ring  $R$ . Assume the contrary, that  $a$  is an element of infinite order in the adjoint group  $R^\circ$ . Then  $1+a \in U(R)$ , hence  $P[1+a]$  is a field and the condition (2) imply that element  $a$  is algebraic over  $P$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity,  $P$  be a prime subring of  $R$ . If  $R$  has no zero divisors, then  $R^\circ = \{0\}$  if and only if the following statements are true:*

- 1)  $P \cong GF(2)$ ;
- 2) any element  $x \in R - P$  is transcendental over  $P$ ;
- 3)  $P[x]$  is not a field for arbitrary element  $x \in R - P$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $R^\circ = \{0\}$ , then  $2 = -2$  and therefore  $\text{char} R = 2$ . Assume that there exists an element  $a \in R - P$  algebraic over  $P$ . Then  $P[a]$  is a finite ring without zero divisors. It means that  $P[a]$  is a field and  $a \in U(R)$ , giving a contradiction. So condition (2) is true. Condition (3) is obvious. The converse is trivial.

The rings  $R$  with torsion free additive group  $R^+$  and periodic group of units  $U(R)$  were studied in paper [5].

**Remark 3.6.** *If  $K[G]$  is a group ring, of a non-trivial group  $G$  over a skew field  $K$  of zero characteristic, then the group of units  $U(K[G])$  is not periodic.*

Indeed, if  $\text{char} K = 0$ , then the prime subfield  $P$  of skew field  $K$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is not a periodic group.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $K[H]$  be a group algebra of a group  $H$  over a skew field  $K$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $G(K[H])$  is a periodic group;
- 2)  $U(K[H])$  is a periodic group;
- 3)  $K$  is an absolute field,  $H$  is a locally finite group.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Since the groups  $H$  and  $K^*$  can be embedded in  $U(K[H])$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 [15] that  $K$  is an absolute field and  $H$  is a periodic group.

Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be arbitrary elements of the group  $H$ . Since  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ , where  $K_i$  are finite fields and  $K_i[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  are finite domains (hence fields), the subgroup  $\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle \leq H$  is finite.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Clearly, for any element  $x \in K[H]$  there exists a finite subfield  $F$  of the field  $K$  such that  $x \in F[C]$  for certain finite subgroup  $C$  of the group  $H$ . Since subring  $F[C]$  is finite, the group  $U(K[H])$  is periodic.

**4. Associated Groups with Finite Conjugacy Classes.** A group  $G$  is called an *FC-group* if every conjugacy class is finite, i.e., if  $|G : C_G(x)| < \infty$  for all element  $x \in G$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. Then  $G(R)$  is an FC-group if and only if  $G(R)$  is a locally normal group.*

*Proof.* Let  $G(R) = A \rtimes B$ , where  $A \cong R^+$  and  $B \cong R^\circ$ . If the group  $R^\circ$  is not periodic, then by Corollary 3.10 [20]  $C_B(A) \neq 1$ . But it contradicts Lemma 2.2 (vii). Therefore, the subgroup  $R^\circ$  is periodic. Let  $(a, 0)$  be an arbitrary element of  $A$ . Since  $(a, 0)^n \in Z(G(R))$  for some  $n = n(a) \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$(na, b) = (na, 0)(0, b) = (0, b)(na, 0) = (bna + na, b). \quad (4.1)$$

Hence,

$$bna = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for arbitrary non-zero element  $a \in R$ .

If  $\text{char} R = 0$ , then  $(-2)e \in R^\circ$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of the ring  $R$ . From (4.2), if we put  $a = e$  we get  $nb = 0$  for arbitrary  $b \in R^\circ$ . It contradicts that the order  $|-2e|_+$  is infinite. Therefore  $\text{char} R = n$  is finite. Thus  $G(R)$  is a locally normal group. The converse is trivial. The lemma is proved.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. Then  $G(R)$  is a fibrewise finite group if and only if  $R$  is a finite ring.*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. Suppose  $R$  has no zero divisors, then  $G = G(R)$  is an FC-group if and only if  $R^\circ = \{0\}$  or  $R$  is a finite field.*

Indeed, if the adjoint group  $R^\circ$  is not trivial, then it follows from Lemma 4.1 and fact, that quotient-group  $G/C_G(x^G)$  (where  $x^G = \langle g^{-1}xg \mid g \in G \rangle$ ) of FC-group  $G$  is finite for all  $x \in G$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. If  $G = G(R)$  is an FC-group, then  $G = A \rtimes B$  is a locally normal group with finite commutant, moreover, the subgroup  $B$  is finite,  $|G : Z(G)| < \infty$  and  $B \cap Z(G) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = G(R) = A \rtimes B$  be an FC-group. Then for all element  $g \in G$  the quotient-group  $G/C_G(g^G)$  is finite. Lemma 4.1 implies that subgroup  $B$  is finite. By Lemma 3.10 [20]  $|G : Z(G)| < \infty$  and by theorem of Baer the commutant  $G'$  is finite.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $K[H]$  be a group algebra of a group  $H$  over a field  $K$ . Then  $G(K[H])$  is an FC-group if and only if the algebra  $K[H]$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Taking into account that the groups  $H$  and  $K^*$  can be embedded into the adjoint group  $(K[H])^\circ$ , we see that  $H$  and  $K^*$  are finite by Theorem 4.4. Therefore, the algebra  $K[H]$  is finite as well. The converse is trivial.

## 5. Rings with nilpotent associated groups.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $T$  be a skew field. Then  $G(T)$  is a nilpotent group if and only if  $T \cong GF(2)$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\Leftarrow)$  is obvious.

$(\Rightarrow)$ . If the associated group  $G(T)$  is nilpotent, then  $T$  is a field of characteristic  $p$  for some prime  $p$ . Since the field  $GF(p)$  embeds in  $T$  and by Lemma 2.2 we have  $|GF(p)| = p - 1 = 1$ , so  $p = 2$ . Let  $p \cong GF(2)$  be a prime subfield of  $T$ , then Exercise 9 [19] implies that that  $T \supseteq P$  is a finite algebraic extension and  $T = P$ .



**Remark 5.2.**

$$U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) \cong \begin{cases} 1, & n = 1; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2, & n = 2; \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-2}}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

The equation above implies that  $G(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n})$  is a nilpotent 2-group.

**Remark 5.3.** If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}(p-1)}. \quad (5.2)$$

From Lemma 2.2 (vii) it follows that the group  $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$  is not nilpotent.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity  $e$  and suppose that  $R$  has no zero divisors. Then  $G(R)$  is a nilpotent group if and only if  $\text{char } R = 2$  and  $R^\circ = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G(R) = A \rtimes B$  be a nilpotent group. Then  $C_A(B) \neq 1$  by Proposition 1.6 [20]. According to Lemma 2.2 (vii),  $B$  is an identity group and consequently  $R^\circ = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $\text{char } R = 2$ . Conversely, if  $R^\circ = \{0\}$ , then  $G(R) \cong R^\circ$  is an abelian group. The lemma is proved.

Below  $\mathcal{N}(R)$  will denote the set of all nilpotent elements of a ring  $R$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity  $e$ . If the associated group  $G(R)$  is nilpotent, then  $\text{char } R = 2^m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ). If, thereto, ring  $R$  is a commutative, then  $R^\circ = \mathcal{N}(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let additive order  $|e|_+ = m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then the group  $G(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  is embedded in  $G(R)$  (where  $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ ). According to Lemma 5.4  $m \neq 0$ . If  $m = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \dots p_l^{a_l}$  is a canonical decomposition of  $m$ , then by Theorem 3 [19]

$$U(\mathbb{Z}_m) \cong U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}) \times U(\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}}) \times \dots \times U(\mathbb{Z}_{p_l^{a_l}}), \quad (5.3)$$

where  $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{a_i}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{a_i-1}(p_i-1)}$  and  $U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^a})$  is described in Remark 5.2. Remark 5.3 implies  $a_1 = \dots = a_l = 0$  and  $m = 2^a$ .

Let  $\bar{R} = R/2R$ . If a torsion part  $T(\bar{R}^\circ) \neq \{0\}$  then by Lemma 2.2 (vii),  $T(\bar{R}^\circ)$  is a 2-group and therefore  $T(\bar{R}^\circ) \subset \mathcal{N}(\bar{R})$ . Conversely, let  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{R})$ , then  $\bar{x}^n = \bar{0}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows, that the adjoint power  $\bar{x}^{(2^s)} = \bar{0}$ , where  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $n \leq 2^s$ . Hence  $T(\bar{R}^\circ) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{R})$ .

Suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring. Then, clearly,  $\mathcal{N}(\bar{R})$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Let  $G(D) = \bar{A} \rtimes \bar{B}$  is a group associated with a ring  $D = R/\mathcal{N}(R)$ , then  $\bar{B}$  is torsion free and  $C_{\bar{B}}(\bar{A}) = \bar{1}$ . This means, that  $\bar{B}$  is embedded in the group  $\text{Aut}(\bar{A})$  of the subgroup  $\bar{A}$ .

If  $\bar{B}$  is not identity subgroup, then  $[\bar{A}, \bar{B}] = \bar{A}$ . It contradict to the nilpotency of the group  $G(D)$ . Hence  $\bar{B}$  is an identity subgroup and  $R^\circ = \mathcal{N}(R)$ . The theorem is proved.

**Remark 5.6.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Q}[a]$ , where  $a^2 = 0$ . Then  $R$  is a local Artinian ring. From the results in [21] we have  $R = B + J(R)$ , where the field  $B = \mathbb{Q}$ . It follows that  $R^\circ = B^\circ \times J(R)^\circ$  is a mixed abelian group. Assume  $(a, 0)$  is non zero element of  $G(R)$ , then

$$(a, 0)^{-1}(0, -2)(a, 0) = (-a, 0)(0, -2)(a, 0) = (-2a, -2) \notin T(G(R)). \quad (5.4)$$

Since  $(0, -2) \in T(G(R))$ , then  $G(R)$  is a nilpotent group.

**Remark 5.7.** Let  $F = GF(p^n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $\sigma$  is the Frobenius automorphism of the field  $F$ . Suppose  $F[x, \sigma]$  is a skew polynomial algebra such that  $xa = \sigma(a)x$  for all  $a \in F$ . Then  $R = F[x, \sigma]/(x^2)$  is a local Artinian ring. Since  $R = J(R) + B$ , where field  $B \cong F$ , then  $U(R) \cong (1 + J(R)) \rtimes B^*$ , where  $1 + J(R)$  is a  $p$ -group,  $|B^*| = p^n - 1$ . As a corollary of [11] we have that the group  $U(R)$  is not nilpotent.

1. Sysak Y. P. Products of infinite groups. – Preprint № 82.53 of the Institute Math. NASU, 1982.
2. Krempa J. Finitely generated groups of units in group rings. – Preprint of the Institute Math. Warsaw Univ., Warsaw. – 1985.
3. Krempa J. Unit groups and commutative ring extensions. – Preprint of the Institute Math. Warsaw Univ., Warsaw. – 1985.
4. Krempa J. On finite generation of unit groups for group rings. – London Math. Soc. Lecture Note 212. – Cambridge Univ. Press. – 1995. – P. 352-367.
5. Krempa J. Rings with periodic unit groups. – Abelian groups and modules. (A. Facchini, C. Menini, eds.), Kluwer: Dordrecht e.a. – 1995. – P. 313-321.
6. Pearson K. R., Schneider J. R. Rings with a cyclic group of units // J. Algebra. – 1970. – 16(1). – P. 243-251.
7. Fisher I., Eldridge K. E. Artinian rings with cyclic quasi-regular groups // Duke Math. J. – 1969. – 36(1). – P. 43-47.
8. Jennings S. A. Radical rings with nilpotent associated groups // Trans. Royal Soc. Canada. – 1955. – 24(3). – P. 31-38.
9. Watters J. F. On the adjoint group of a radical ring // J. London Math. Soc. – 1968. – 43. – P. 725-729.
10. Lane H. On the associated Lie ring and the adjoint group of a radical ring // Can. Math. Bull. – 1984. – 27(2). – P. 215-222.
11. Groza G. Artinian rings having a nilpotent groups of units // J. Algebra. – 1989. – 121(2). – P. 253-262.
12. Du X. The centres of a radical ring // Can. Math. Bull. – 1992. – 35(2). – P. 174-179.
13. Catino F. On the centres of a radical ring // Arch. Math. – 1993. – 60. – P. 330-333.
14. Amberg B., Dickenshied O. On the adjoint group of a radical ring // Canad. Math. Bull. – 1995. – 38(3). – P. 262-270.
15. Artemovych O. D., Ishchuk Yu. B. On semiperfect rings determined by adjoint groups // Matematychni Studii. – 1997. – 8(2). – P. 162-170.
16. Ishchuk Yu. B. Semiperfect rings with periodic locally nilpotent group of units // Matematychni Studii. – 1997. – 7(2). – P. 125-128.
17. Robinson D. J. S. Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups. – P1. New York e.a.: Springer. – 1972.

18. *Robinson D. J. S.* A course in the theory of groups. – New York e.a.: Springer. – 1982.
19. *Fuchs L.* Infinite abelian groups. – М., 1977.
20. *Černikov S. N.* Groups with prescribed properties of subgroups systems. – М., 1980.
21. *Cohen I. S.* On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 59(1). – P. 54-106.

## ПРО АСОЦІЙОВАНІ ГРУПИ КІЛЬЦЬ З УМОВАМИ СКІНЧЕННОСТІ

Ю. Іщук

*Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка,  
вул.Університетська, 1 79000 Львів, Україна*

Розглянуто конструкцію асоційованої групи кільця з одиницею. Охарактеризовано кільця з періодичною, FC-групою, нільпотентною асоційованими групами. Показано, що з умов скінченності для асоційованої групи  $G(R)$  випливають певні умови скінченності чи комутативності кільця  $R$ .

*Ключові слова:* асоційована група кільця, приєднана група, FC-група, періодична група.

Стаття надійшла до редколегії 14.11.2001

Прийнята до друку 14.03.2003