УДК 512.64

## SOME PROPERTIES OF MINORS OF INVERTIBLE MATRICES

## <sup>1</sup>Orest MEL'NYK, <sup>2</sup>Volodymyr SHCHEDRYK

<sup>1</sup>Lviv State Academy of Veterinary Medicine named after S. Z. Gzhytskyi, 50 Pekarska Str. 79010 Lviv, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup> Pidstryhach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics NAS of Ukraine, 3b Naukova Str. 79053 Lviv, Ukraine

The invariants of matrices which reduced a matrix over a commutative elementary divisor domain to canonical diagonal form is investigated. Some properties of minors of invertible matrices are considered.

Key words: commutative elementary divisor domain, transformable matrix, invertible matrix, minors, invariants of matrices.

Let R be a commutative elementary divisor domain [1]. Let A be a nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix with the canonical diagonal form  $\Phi = \operatorname{diag}(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n)$ . Therefore, there exist invertible matrices P and Q such that  $PAQ = \Phi$ . The matrices P and Q are called the left and the right transformable matrices of the matrix A, respectively. By  $P_A$  we denote the set of left transformable matrices of the matrix A. It follows from the results of the papers [2, 3] that  $P_A = G_{\Phi}P$ , where  $G_{\Phi}$  is the group of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & \dots & h_{1n-1} & h_{1n} \\ \frac{\varphi_2}{\varphi_1} h_{21} & h_{22} & \dots & h_{2n-1} & h_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\varphi_n}{\varphi_1} h_{n1} & \frac{\varphi_n}{\varphi_2} h_{22} & \dots & \frac{\varphi_n}{\varphi_{n-1}} h_{nn-1} & h_{nn} \end{vmatrix} . \tag{1}$$

It means that the set  $\mathbf{P}_A$  is a left conjugate class of the complete linear group  $GL_n(R)$  with respect to the subgroup  $\mathbf{G}_{\Phi}$ . In the papers [2, 3, 4, 5] it is proved that the set  $\mathbf{P}_A$  plays the main role in the description of the divisors of matrices. The papers [2, 3, 6, 7] are dedicated to investigations of invariants and description of properties of the set  $\mathbf{P}_A$ . Our paper is connected to this topic. We also study properties of minor determinants of invertible matrices.

Let U be an  $m \times n$  matrix over R,  $m \leq n$ . The matrix U is called *primitive* if the greatest common divisor (g.c.d.) of the minors of order m of the matrix U is equal to 1. We denote by  $U_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$  the matrix consisting of  $i_1,\ldots,i_k$  columns of the matrix U, where  $k \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$ .

<sup>@</sup> Mel'nyk Orest, Shchedryk Volodymyr, 2003

**Lemma.** The g.c.d. of the minors of order m of the matrix U, which contain the matrix  $U_{i_1,\dots,i_k}$  is equal to the g.c.d. of the minors of order k of the matrix  $U_{i_1,\dots,i_k}$ .

Proof. If k=m the lemma clearly holds. Suppose that k < m. A suitable permutation of the columns of U gives  $||S U_{i_1,...,i_k}|| = UL$ , where L is a suitable permutation matrix. There exists an invertible matrix K such that

$$KU_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} = \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} u_1 & * & * \\ 0 & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & u_k \end{array} \right\| = \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} U'_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \\ 0 \end{array} \right\|,$$

where  $u_1 \cdots u_k$  is g.c.d. of the minors of order k of the matrix  $U_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ . Then

$$KUL = K \parallel S \quad U'_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \parallel = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} * & U'_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \\ T & 0 \end{array} \right\|.$$

Every minor of order m of the matrix KUL which contains the matrix  $\begin{vmatrix} U'_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$  has the form

$$\det \left\| \begin{array}{cc} * & U'_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \\ T_{m-k} & 0 \end{array} \right\|,$$

where  $T_{m-k}$  is an  $(m-k) \times (m-k)$  submatrix of the matrix T. Therefore, g.c.d. of such minors is equal to  $u_1 \dots u_k \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the g.c.d. of the minors of order m-1 of the matrix T. Since the matrix KUL is primitive, it follows that the matrix T is primitive. Thus  $\tau = 1$ . Since any minor of the order m of the matrix U differs from respective minor of the matrix KUL by a unit multiplier of the ring R, the proof of our statement is complete.

Let  $U \in \mathbf{P}_A$ . We denote by  $U^m$  the matrix consisting of the last m rows of the matrix U,  $1 \leq m < n$ , by  $U^m_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  the matrix consisting of  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  columns of the matrix  $U^m$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , and by  $\delta^m_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  the g.c.d. of the minors of order  $\min\{m, k\}$  of the matrix  $U^m_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ .

Theorem. The elements  $\left(\delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m, \frac{\varphi_{n-m+1}}{\varphi_{n-m}}\right)$  are invariant with respect to the choice of transformable matrices from  $\mathbf{P}_A$ , for all indices  $i_1,\ldots,i_k$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$ ,  $k=1,\ldots,n$ ,  $m=1,\ldots,n-1$ .

Proof. Let  $V \in \mathbf{P}_A$ . By  $\Delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m$  we denote the g.c.d. of the minors of order  $\min\{m,k\}$  of the matrix  $V_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m$ . At first we consider the case k=m. Since V=HU, where H is an invertible matrix of form (1), it follows that

$$\times \left| \begin{array}{cccc} u_{1\,i_1} & \dots & u_{1\,i_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_{n\,i_1} & \dots & u_{n\,i_m} \end{array} \right|,$$

where s = n - m + 1. Since  $\frac{\varphi_{s+i}}{\varphi_j}$  are divisible by  $\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}$  for any  $i = 1, \ldots, n - s$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, s - 1$ , s + i > j, all minors of order m which are built on last m rows of the matrix H, except the minor

$$\begin{vmatrix} h_{s s} & \dots & h_{s n-1} & h_{s n} \\ \frac{\varphi_{s+1}}{\varphi_s} h_{s+1 s} & \dots & h_{s+1 n-1} & h_{s+1 n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\varphi_n}{\varphi_s} h_{n s} & \dots & \frac{\varphi_n}{\varphi_{n-1}} h_{n n-1} & h_{n n} \end{vmatrix} = |H_s|$$

are divisible by  $\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}$ . Since H is an invertible matrix, we have  $\left(|H_s|, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right) = 1$ . By the Cauchy-Binet formula and from what has been said it follows that

$$|V_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}^m| = \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}d + |H_s| |U_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}^m|,$$

where

$$|U_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}^m| = \begin{vmatrix} u_{1\,i_1} & \ldots & u_{1\,i_m} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ u_{n\,i_1} & \ldots & u_{n\,i_m} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\left(\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}, |V^m_{i_1, \dots, i_m}|\right) = \left(\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}, |H_s| \left|U^m_{i_1, \dots, i_m}\right|\right) = \left(\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}, \left|U^m_{i_1, \dots, i_m}\right|\right).$$

We remark that  $\delta^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}=|U^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}|$  and  $\Delta^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}=|V^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}|$ , hense the result holds for k=m.

Let  $m < k \le n$ . We choose a minor  $\mu$  of order m of the matrix  $U_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m$ . The corresponding minor of the matrix  $V_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m$  is denoted by  $\nu$ . The first case implies that

$$\left(\mu, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right) = \left(\nu, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right).$$

Since  $\delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m$  is g.c.d. of the minors of order m of the matrix  $U_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m$ , we have

$$\left(\delta^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_k},\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right) = \left(\Delta^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_k},\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right).$$

Finally we consider the case  $1 \leq k < m$ . Let  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t$  be minors of order m of the matrix  $U^m$  which contains the matrix  $U^m_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ . By  $\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_t$  we denote the respective minors of the matrix  $V^m$ . By Lemma we get

$$(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t) = \delta_{i_1, \ldots, i_k}^m, \ (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_t) = \Delta_{i_1, \ldots, i_k}^m.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\delta_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}^m,\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right) = \left(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_t,\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right) = \left(\left(\mu_1,\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right),\ldots,\left(\mu_t,\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right)\right) = \left(\left(\mu_1,\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right),\ldots,\left(\mu_t,\frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left( \left( \nu_1, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}} \right), \ldots, \left( \nu_t, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}} \right) \right) = \left( \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_t, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}} \right) = \left( \Delta^m_{i_1, \ldots, i_k}, \frac{\varphi_s}{\varphi_{s-1}} \right).$$

The proof of the Theorem is complete.

Proposition 1. Let A be an  $m \times s$  matrix with the canonical diagonal form  $\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_k,\alpha_{k+1},\ldots,\alpha_s)$ , where  $\alpha_{k+1} \notin U(R)$ ,  $m \geq s$ . Then the matrix A is a submatrix of some invertible  $n \times n$  matrix if and only if  $n - m \geq s - k$ .

*Proof.* Necessity. Let A be a submatrix of an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix U. We may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$U = \left\| \begin{matrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{matrix} \right\|,$$

where B, C, D are matrices of respective sizes. Let P and Q be transformable matrices of A, i.e.

$$PAQ = \begin{vmatrix} E_k & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{vmatrix} = \Phi,$$

where  $E_k$  is the identity  $k \times k$  matrix,  $S = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_s)$ . Then

$$\left\| \begin{smallmatrix} P & & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n-m} \end{smallmatrix} \right\| \left\| U \right\| \left\| \begin{smallmatrix} Q & & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n-s} \end{smallmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{smallmatrix} \Phi & PB \\ CQ & D \end{smallmatrix} \right\|.$$

Put F be an  $(m-k) \times n$  matrix consisting of  $k+1, k+2, \ldots, m$  columns of the matrix  $\begin{vmatrix} \Phi & PB \\ CQ & D \end{vmatrix}$ . Therefore

$$F = \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \\ S \\ \mathbf{0} \\ C_k \end{array} \right|,$$

where  $C_k$  is a submatrix of C. Since  $\alpha_{k+1}|\alpha_j$  for  $j=k+1,\ldots,s$ , we see that  $\alpha_{k+1}$  divides all minors of order s-k of the matrix F, which contain at least one of first m rows of F. The matrix F is primitive, therefore there exists a minor of order s-k which does not contain the first m rows of F. It means that the  $(n-m)\times(s-k)$  matrix  $C_k$  contains at least s-k rows, i.e.  $n-m\geqslant s-k$ .

Sufficiency. Let

$$U = \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} P^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & E_{s-k} \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} E_k & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & S & E_{m-k} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & E_{m-k} \\ \mathbf{0} & E_{s-k} & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right\| \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} Q^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & E_{m-k} \end{array} \right\|.$$

The matrix U is an example of a desired invertible matrix of order n=m+s-k.  $\square$  Corollary. Let U be an invertible  $n\times n$  matrix, V be a square submatrix of U and  $\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{k},\alpha_{k+1},\ldots,\alpha_{n-t})$  be the canonical diagonal form of V. Then  $k\leqslant t$ .  $\square$ 

Proposition 2. Let be  $U = ||u_{ij}||_1^n$  and  $U^{-1} = V = ||v_{ij}||_1^n$ . Then g.c.d. of the minors of maximal order of matrices

$$U' = \begin{vmatrix} u_{i_1k_1} & u_{i_1k_2} & \dots & u_{i_1k_p} \\ u_{i_2k_1} & u_{i_2k_2} & \dots & u_{i_2k_p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_{i_sk_1} & u_{i_sk_2} & \dots & u_{i_sk_p} \end{vmatrix} \quad and \quad V' = \begin{vmatrix} v_{k'_1i'_1} & v_{k'_1i'_2} & \dots & v_{k'_1i'_{n-s}} \\ v_{k'_2i'_1} & v_{k'_2i'_2} & \dots & v_{k'_2i'_{n-s}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ v_{k'_{n-p}i'_1} & v_{k'_{n-p}i'_2} & \dots & v_{k'_{n-p}i'_{n-s}} \end{vmatrix},$$

coincides, where  $i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_s$  together with  $i'_1 < i'_2 < \ldots < i'_{n-s}$  and  $k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_p$  together with  $k'_1 < k'_2 < \ldots < k'_{n-p}$  form the complete system of indices  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $s \ge p$ . It is well known that the minors  $U\begin{pmatrix} i_1 \dots i_p \\ k_1 \dots k_p \end{pmatrix}$  and  $V\begin{pmatrix} k'_1 \dots k'_{n-p} \\ i'_1 \dots i'_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$  differ from each other by a unit multiplier of R (see: [6, Part 1, §4]). All the minors  $V\begin{pmatrix} k'_1 \dots k'_{n-p} \\ i'_1 \dots i'_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$  can be characterized as all minors that contain the submatrix V'. Then Lemma implies our statement. The case s < p is similar.  $\square$ 

- Kaplansky I. Elementary divisor ring and modules // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1949. – Vol. 66. – P. 464-491.
- 2. Zelisko V. R. On the structure of some class of invertible matrices // Mat. Metody Phys.-Mech. Polya. 1980. Vol. 12. P. 14-21 (in Russian).
- 3. Shchedryk V. P. The structure and properties of divisors of matrices over commutative domain elementary divisors ring // Mat. Studii. 1998. Vol. 10:2. P. 115-120 (in Ukrainian).
- Kazimirs'kij P. S. A solution to the problem of separating a regular factor from a matrix polynomial // Ukr. Mat. Zh. - 1980. - Vol. 32(4). - P. 483-498.
- 5. Kazimirs'kij P. S. Factorization of matrix polynomials. K., 1981.
- Mel'nyk O. M. On invariants of transforming matrices. Methods for the investigations of differential and integral operators, Collect. Sci. Works, K., 1989. P. 160-164 (in Russian).
- Shchedryk V. P. The Φ-skeleton of matrices and its property // Mat. Metody Phys.-Mech. Polya. - 2000. - Vol. 43(2). - P. 45-51 (in Ukrainian).
- 8. Gantmacher F. R. The Theory of Matrices. M., 1988 (in Russian).

## ДЕЯКІ ВЛАСТИВОСТІ МІНОРІВ ОБОРОТНИХ МАТРИЦЬ <sup>1</sup>О. Мельник, <sup>2</sup>В. Щедрик

<sup>1</sup> Львівська державна ветеринарна академія імені С. З. Гжицького, вул. Пекарська, 50 79010 Львів, Україна
<sup>2</sup> Інститут прикладних проблем математики і механіки імені Я. С. Підстригача, НАН України, вул. Наукова, 36 79053 Львів, Україна

Досліджено інваріанти тих матриць, які зводять матрицю над комутативною областю елементарних дільників до її канонічної діагональної форми. Зазначено деякі властивості мінорів оборотних матриць.

*Ключові слова*: комутативна область елементарних дільників, перетворювальні матриці, оборотні матриці, мінори, інваріанти матриць.

Стаття надійшла до редколегіі 15.03.2002 Прийнята до друку 14.03.2003